

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/623,619 07/22/2003 Mahesh Balu Mistry 15772.0006 5053 EXAMINER 23517 05/03/2006 7590 SWIDLER BERLIN LLP TRAN, HANH VAN 3000 K STREET, NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **BOX IP** WASHINGTON, DC 20007 3637

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/623,619	MISTRY ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hanh V. Tran	3637
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2006</u> .		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-14,16 and 18-20</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4-14, 16, 18-20</u> is/are rejected.		
6)		
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 10/623,619 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

1. This is a Second Non-Final Office action from the examiner in charge of this application in response to applicant's amendment filed on 2/6/2006. Upon further consideration, the indicated allowability of claims 3-7, and 17-18 is hereby withdrawn. A new rejection is follow. Any inconvenience is regretted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 12, lines 1-4, the limitation "wherein the second component...the interior wall" appears to be to have the same scope as the limitation in claim 1. Claim 12, line 4, "the interior wall" lacks antecedent basis, thus indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 14, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 6,179,144 to Abroy et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,619 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

Abroy et al discloses a cabinet comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including a back portion 24 fixedly connectable to a wall, a cover portion 36 cooperating with the back portion 24 to form an interior space and being detachably connected to the back portion, a hinge structure 48 having a first component connected to one of the back portion 24 and the cover portion 36 and a second, complementary component connected to the other of the back portion 24 and the cover portion 36; a vent formed in the cover portion 36, such as shown in Fig 4, a water diverting plate 28 juxtaposed the vent and being disposed on the back portion; wherein the hinge structure includes a pair of mounting brackets formed on opposite sides of the back portion, and pivot pin engaging each of the mounting brackets, the vent comprises a gap formed between the cover portion and the wall.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

8. Claims 1, 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abroy et al in view of USP 4,223,965 to Palandrani.

Abroy et al discloses a cabinet comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including a back portion 24 fixedly connectable to a wall, a cover portion 36 cooperating with the back portion 24 to form an interior space and being detachably connected to the back portion, a hinge structure 48 having a first component connected to one of the back portion 24 and the cover portion 36 and a second, complementary component connected to the other of the back portion 24 and the cover portion 36; a vent formed in the cover portion 36, such as shown in Fig 4, a water diverting plate 28 juxtaposed the vent and being disposed on the back portion; wherein the hinge structure includes a pair of mounting brackets formed on opposite sides of the back portion, and pivot pin engaging each of the mounting brackets, the vent comprises a gap formed between the cover portion and the wall. The different being that Abroy et al does not clearly disclose spacer means comprising a plurality of protrusions extending outwardly from a rear surface of the back portion.

Palandrani discloses a wall mounted cabinet comprising spacer means comprising a plurality of protrusions 19 extending outwardly from a rear surface of the back portion, such as shown in Figs 2-3, in order to facilitate mounting the cabinet to a wall, at the same time preventing water from seeping along the wall into the interior of the cabinet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the structure of Abroy et al by providing spacer means comprising a plurality of protrusions extending outwardly from a rear surface of the back portion in order to facilitate mounting the cabinet to a

Art Unit: 3637

wall, at the same time preventing water from seeping along the wall into the interior of the cabinet, as taught by Palandrani, since both teach alternate conventional wall mounted cabinet structure, used for the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

9. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abroy et al in view of Palandrani.

Abroy et al discloses all the elements as discussed above except for spacer means comprising a plurality of protrusions extending outwardly from a rear surface of the back portion.

Palandrani discloses a wall mounted cabinet comprising spacer means comprising a plurality of protrusions 19 extending outwardly from a rear surface of the back portion, such as shown in Figs 2-3, in order to facilitate mounting the cabinet to a wall, at the same time preventing water from seeping along the wall into the interior of the cabinet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the structure of Abroy et all by providing spacer means comprising a plurality of protrusions extending outwardly from a rear surface of the back portion in order to facilitate mounting the cabinet to a wall, at the same time preventing water from seeping along the wall into the interior of the cabinet, as taught by Palandrani, since both teach alternate conventional wall mounted cabinet structure, used for the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,619 Page 6

Art Unit: 3637

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 12-13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVT #V/ April 30, 2006 LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lamama